
 TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Executive Summary</u>	<i>ES-1</i>
<u>Introduction</u>	<i>1</i>
<u>Background</u>	<i>3</i>
<u>Salmonid Refugia Theory</u>	<i>8</i>
<u>Salmonid Population Theory</u>	<i>13</i>
<u>Study Approach</u>	<i>21</i>
<u>Landscape Approach to Protection and Restoration</u>	<i>23</i>
<u>Watershed Assessment and Prioritization</u>	<i>25</i>
<u>Refugia Assessment Methods</u>	<i>30</i>
<u>Fish-Centered Approach</u>	<i>32</i>
<u>Salmonid Distribution Mapping</u>	<i>34</i>
<u>ESA-Listed Species Considerations</u>	<i>35</i>
<u>Salmonid Diversity</u>	<i>38</i>
<u>Salmonid Productivity</u>	<i>38</i>
<u>Salmonid Habitat Assessment</u>	<i>39</i>
<u>Landscape-Centered Approach</u>	<i>46</i>
<u>GIS Landscape Model</u>	<i>53</i>
Habitat Suitability Modeling.....	<i>54</i>
Refugia GIS Model.....	<i>56</i>
<u>GIS Refugia Model Analysis</u>	<i>74</i>
<u>Refugia Identification</u>	<i>78</i>
<u>Refugia Delineation</u>	<i>79</i>
Focal Sub-Watersheds.....	<i>80</i>
Nodal-Riparian Corridors.....	<i>81</i>
Nearshore and Estuarine Refugia.....	<i>82</i>
Critical-Contributing Areas.....	<i>84</i>
<u>Refugia Classification</u>	<i>85</i>
Category A.....	<i>85</i>
Category B.....	<i>85</i>
Category C.....	<i>86</i>
Category D.....	<i>86</i>
<u>Refugia Designation</u>	<i>88</i>
<u>Refugia Descriptions</u>	<i>111</i>
<u>East Kitsap Freshwater Refugia</u>	<i>111</i>
Coulter Creek.....	<i>111</i>
Rocky Creek.....	<i>112</i>



Minter Creek	113
Olalla Creek	114
Curley Creek	115
Salmonberry Creek	116
Beaver Creek	117
Blackjack Creek	118
Anderson Creek	120
Gorst Creek	122
Chico Creek	125
Barker Creek	128
Illahee Creek	130
Steele Creek	132
Grovers Creek	134
Kitsap (North) Creek	135
Indianola Creek	136
Carpenter Creek	137
Silver Creek	139
Bainbridge Island Freshwater Refugia	140
West Kitsap Freshwater Refugia	142
Hansville Area Creeks	142
Martha John Creek	144
Gamble Creek	145
Kinman Creek	146
Bangor Creeks	147
Little Anderson Creek	149
Big Beef Creek	150
Little Beef Creek	153
Seabeck Creek	154
Big Cedar Creek	156
Stavis Creek	157
Boyce, Harding, and Nellita Creeks	159
Big Anderson Creek	160
Dewatto River	162
Rendsland Creek	164
Caldervin Creek	165
Tahuya River	166
Little and Big Mission Creeks	168
Mason County Hood Canal Freshwater Refugia	169
Brown, Stimson, Schoolhouse, Sundstrom, Little Shoofly, Hall, Shoofly, and Cady Creeks	169
Union River	170
Nearshore-Estuarine Refugia	172
Point-No-Point Nearshore	172
Holly Nearshore	173
Murden Cove Estuary	174
Bangor Nearshore	175
Foul Weather Bluff Nearshore	176
Stavis Bay Estuary & Nearshore	177
Lone Rock Nearshore	178
Project Findings	189
Salmonid Distribution	189
Salmonid Diversity and Productivity	193



Combined Fish-Centered Analysis Results 200
GIS Landscape Model Freshwater Results..... 200
GIS Landscape Model Nearshore Results **218**
Conclusions..... **221**
Refugia Management Recommendations **227**
Recommendations for Improvement of the Refugia Process 228
References 229
Overview of Appendices **245**
Appendix A: Watershed Descriptions..... 245
Appendix B: Salmon Data..... 245
Appendix C: Habitat Data 245
Appendix D: Water Quality and B-IBI Data..... 245
Appendix E: Related Scientific Studies..... 246
 Major Reports/Guidance Documents 246
 Other Studies/Reports..... 246
 Peer-reviewed articles 246
 Fact Sheets/Primers 247
Appendix F: Nearshore 247
Appendix G: GIS Data 247



LIST OF TABLES

Table ES-1: Category A and B refugia.....	ES-9
Table ES-2: Frequency of Refugia by Type and Category	ES-9
Table 1: Salmonid Refugia Project Informational Interview Sources	34
Table 2: Potential Salmonid Rearing Habitat (modified from SSHIAP).	37
Table 3: Potential Salmonid Spawning Habitat (modified from SSHIAP).....	37
Table 4: Salmonid Productivity Criteria	38
Table 5: PNW Salmonid Habitat Assessment Protocols.....	39
Table 6: Timber, Fish, and Wildlife (TFW) Habitat Assessment Protocols.....	40
Table 7: Physical Habitat Assessment Qualitative Scoring Criteria	42-43
Table 8: Watershed landscape map layers considered for inclusion in the Refugia Model.....	50
Table 9: Riparian landscape map layers considered for inclusion in the Refugia Model.....	51
Table 10: Nearshore landscape map layers considered for inclusion in the Refugia Model.....	52
Table 11: GIS layers selected for inclusion in the Freshwater Refugia Model.....	62
Table 12: GIS layers selected for inclusion in the Nearshore Refugia Model.....	62
Table 13: GIS Refugia data.....	63
Table 14: Freshwater Landscape Map Layers and Weighting Factors.	76
Table 15: Nearshore Map Layers and Weighting Factors	76
Table 16: Kitsap County Refugia Designations	91-93
Table 17: Kitsap County Refugia Model Results	94-96
Table 18: Focal Sub-Watershed (FSW) Refugia Scores	97
Table 19: Nodal Riparian Corridor (NRC) Refugia Scores.....	98-100
Table 20: Nearshore-Estuarine (NSE) Refugia Scores	101-102
Table 21: Kitsap County Salmonid Distribution.	190-193
Table 22: East Kitsap Salmonid Diversity and Productivity.....	194-196
Table 23: West Kitsap Salmonid Diversity and Productivity.....	197-198
Table 24: Refugia Landscape Analysis GIS Model <i>Components</i>	201
Table 25: Refugia GIS Model Score Summary.....	202



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure ES-1: Refugia concept showing core populations and satellite populations.....	ES-1
Figure ES-2: Freshwater Refugia Scoring and Categorization Process.....	ES-3
Figure ES-3: Nearshore and Estuarine Refugia Scoring and Categorization Process	ES-4
Figure ES-4: Map of Kitsap Focal Sub-Watershed, Nodal-Riparian Riparian Corridor and Nearshore-Estuarine Refugia.....	ES-8
Figure 1: Typical Salmonid Life Cycle (modified from drawing by N. McClure).....	3
Figure 2: PNW Salmon Extinction Map (based on Nehlsen et al., 1991).....	4
Figure 3: Diagram of Meta-population Models	15
Figure 4: Diagram of Meta-population on the Watershed Scale (IMST, 2002).....	16
Figure 5: Location of Refugia Project Study Area.....	21
Figure 6: Boundaries of Refugia Project Study Area.....	22
Figure 7: Resource management Concept Diagram (Bradshaw, 1983).....	23
Figure 8: Conceptual Diagram of Watershed Structure and Function.....	26
Figure 9: Refugia Project Study Approach and Project Flow Path.....	31
Figure 10: Refugia Project Habitat Assessment Score Sheet	44
Figure 11: Conceptual Model Framework	47
Figure 12: Land-Use Impact Matrix.....	48
Figure 13: Freshwater Model Landscape Goals, Objectives, and Attributes.....	58
Figure 14: Nearshore Goals, Objectives, and Attributes.....	59
Figure 15: Freshwater Refugia Model Flow-Path.....	60
Figure 16: Nearshore Refugia Model Flow-Path.....	61
Figure 17: Riparian Fragmentation in Puget Sound Lowland Watersheds (May and Horner, 2000).....	64
Figure 18: Riparian Fragmentation in Puget Sound Lowland Watersheds (May and Horner, 2000).....	65
Figure 19: Riparian Corridor Extent in Puget Sound Lowland Watersheds (May and Horner, 2000).....	65
Figure 20: Riparian Corridor Extent in Puget Sound Lowland Watersheds (May and Horner, 2000).....	66
Figure 21: Forest Cover and Total Impervious Area in PSL Watersheds (May et al., 1997).....	68
Figure 22: Relationship between Watershed Development in PSL Watersheds and Ecological Integrity (May et al., 1997).....	68



Figure 23: Relationship between Watershed Development in PSL Watersheds and Ecological Integrity (May et al., 1997).....69

Figure 24: Relationship between Watershed Development and Road Density in PSL Watersheds (May et al., 1997).....72

Figure 25: Priority functions as derived by the Natural Breaks Method.....75

Figure 26: Frequency of Freshwater and Nearshore Refugia falling within each percentage category.77

Figure 27: Study Area Watersheds.78

Figure 28: Overall Refugia Model Scores for the Key Peninsula Sub-Region of Kitsap County.....103

Figure 29: Overall Refugia Model Scores for the South Kitsap Sub-Region of Kitsap County103

Figure 30: Overall Refugia Model Scores for the Sinclair Inlet Sub-Region of Kitsap County.....104

Figure 31: Overall Refugia Model Scores for the Western Dyes Inlet Sub-Region of Kitsap County.104

Figure 32: Overall Refugia Model Scores for the Eastern Dyes Inlet Sub-Region of Kitsap County.105

Figure 33: Overall Refugia Model Scores for the Liberty Bay Sub-Region of Kitsap County.....105

Figure 34: Overall Refugia Model Scores for the Kingston Sub-Region of Kitsap County.....106

Figure 35: Overall Refugia Model Scores for the Bainbridge Island Sub-Region of Kitsap County.....106

Figure 36: Overall Refugia Model Scores for the Gamble Bay Sub-Region of Kitsap County.107

Figure 37: Overall Refugia Model Scores for the North Hood Canal Sub-Region of Kitsap County.....107

Figure 38: Overall Refugia Model Scores for the Seabeck Sub-Region of Kitsap County.108

Figure 39: Overall Refugia Model Scores for the Holly Sub-Region of Kitsap County.....108

Figure 40: Overall Refugia Model Scores for the Dewatto Sub-Region of Kitsap County.....109

Figure 41: Overall Refugia Model Scores for the Tahuya Sub-Region of Kitsap County.109

Figure 42: Overall Refugia Model Scores for the Union Sub-Region of Kitsap County.....110

Figure 43: Relationship between GIS Landscape and Fish-Centered Scores for the combined Jefferson and Kitsap County Model.202

Figure 44: Relationship between Watershed Land-Use and Land-Cover.....203

Figure 45: Relationship between Forest Cover and Land Use.204

Figure 46: Relationship between Watershed Land-Use and Road Density.....205

Figure 47: Stream-Riparian Fragmentation in Kitsap County189-190

Figure 48: Agricultural Land Use in Kitsap County Watersheds208

Figure 49: Floodplain Modification in Kitsap County Watersheds209

Figure 50: Percent Total Impervious Area of Kitsap County Watersheds.....210-211

Figure 51: Percent Forest Cover, Kitsap County Watersheds.....212-213

Figure 52: Riparian Score, Kitsap County Watersheds.....214-215



Figure 53: Landscape Score, Kitsap County Watershed.....216-217

Figure 54: Map of Nearshore Refugia Areas.....219

Figure 55: Nearshore Refugia Scores for Kitsap County220

Figure 56: Nearshore Refugia Scores for Kitsap and East Jefferson Counties.220



ACRONYMS

AFS: American Fisheries Society

ASTER: Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer

B-IBI: Benthic Index of Biotic Integrity

CCA: Critical Contributing Area

CFS: Cubic Feet per Second

CMZ: Cannel Migration Zone

DAIS: Digital Airborne Imaging System

DB: Database

DEM: Digital Elevation Model

DNR: (Washington) Department of Natural Resources

EDT: Ecosystem Diagnosis and Treatment

EF: East Fork

EPA: Environmental Protection Agency

ESA: Endangered Species Act

ESU: Evolutionarily Significant Unit

ETM: Enhanced Thematic Mapper

FEMA: Federal Emergency Management Agency

FEMAT: Northwest Forest Ecosystem Management and Assessment Team

FIRM: Flood Insurance Rate Map

FSW: Focal Sub-Watershed (Refugia)

GIS: Geographic Information System

HB: House Bill

HCCC: Hood Canal Coordinating Council

HCSC: Hood Canal Summer Chum

HCSEG: Hood Canal Salmon Enhancement Group

HSI: Habitat Suitability Index

HSM: Habitat Suitability Model



IMST: (Oregon) Independent Multidisciplinary Science Panel
JNRC: (Governor's) Joint Natural Resources Cabinet
KCD: Kitsap Conservation District
LAMIRD: Local Area of More Intensive Rural Development
LFA: Limiting Factors Analysis
LIDAR: Light Detection And Ranging
LULC: Land Use/Land Cover
LWD: Large Woody Debris
MCDA: Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis
MDN: Marine-Derived Nutrients
MPI: Matrix of Pathways and Indicators
NMFS: National Marine Fisheries Service
NOAA: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NPS: Non-Point Source Pollution
NRC: National Research Council
NRC: Nodal-Riparian Corridor (Refugia)
NRCS: Natural Resources Conservation Service
NSE: Nearshore and Estuarine (Refugia)
NWI: National Wetlands Inventory
NWIFC: Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission
PFC: Properly Functioning Condition
PNPTC: Point No Point Treaty Council
PNW: Pacific Northwest
PS: Puget Sound
PSC: Puget Sound Chinook
PSL: Puget Sound Lowlands
RBER: Rapid Biotic and Ecological Response
RM: River Mile



SF: South Fork

SPTH: Site-Potential Tree Height

SRF Board: Salmon Recovery Funding Board

SSHEAR: Salmonid Screening Habitat Enhancement and Restoration

SSHIAP: Salmon and Steelhead Habitat Inventory and Assessment Program

SZ: Shorezone

TFW: Timber, Fish, and Wildlife

TIA: Total Impervious Area

TIGER: Topologically Integrated Geographic Encoding and Referencing

TPL: Trust for Public Lands

UGA: Urban Growth Area

UGB: Urban Growth Boundary

USDA: US Department of Agriculture

USFS: US Forest Service

USFWS: US Fish & Wildlife Service

USGS: US Geological Survey

UW: University of Washington

VSP: Viable Salmonid Population

WAU: Watershed Administrative Unit

WCC: Washington Conservation Commission

WDFW: Washington Department of Fish & Wildlife

WDNR: Washington Department of Natural Resources

WDOE: Washington Department of Ecology

WRIA: Water Resource Inventory Area

